COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA



LOUDOUN CIRCUIT COURT
Civil Division
18 E MARKET ST/PO BOX 550
LEESBURG VA 20178-0550
(703) 777-0270

Summons

To: BANK OF AMERICA NA
SERVE: CT CORPORATION SYSTEM
REGISTERED AGENT
4701 COX RD STE 285
GLEN ALLEN VA 23060

Case No. 107CL00097512-00

The party upon whom this summons and the attached complaint are served is hereby notified that unless within 21 days after such service, response is made by filing in the clerk's office of this court a pleading in writing, in proper legal form, the allegations and charges may be taken as admitted and the court may enter an order, judgment, or decree against such party either by default or after hearing evidence.

Appearance in person is not required by this summons.

Done in the name of the Commonwealth of Virginia on, Monday, November 30, 2015

Clerk of Court: GARY M CLEMENS

by CLERK/DEPLITY CLERK

Instructions:

Hearing Official:

Attorney's name:

FRANCIS, ERNEST P 505 WYTHE STREET

703-683-5696

ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

Exhibit A

COVER SHEET FOR FILING CIV COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA	TL ACTIONS	Case No. (CLERK'S OFFICE USE ONLY)
	Loudoun County	Circuit Court
Keith T. Saylor PLAINTIFF(S)	v/In re:	Bank of America, N.A. DEFENDANT(S)
		lant hereby notify the Clerk of Court that I am filing ifies the claim being asserted or relief sought.)
Subsequent Actions [] Claim Impleading Third Party Defendant [] Monetary Damages [] No Monetary Damages [] Counterclaim [] Monetary Damages [] No Monetary Damages [] Cross Claim [] Interpleader [] Reinstatement (other than divorce or driving privileges)	[] Appeal/Judicial Review of Decisi (select one) [] ABC Board [] Board of Zoning [] Compensation Board [] DMV License Suspension [] Employee Grievance Decision [] Employment Commission [] Local Government [] Marine Resources Commissio [] School Board	[] Aid and Guidance [] Appointment (select one) [] Guardian/Conservator [] Standby Guardian/Conservator [] Custodian/Successor Custodian (UTM/ [] Trust (select one) [] Impress/Declare [] Reformation [] Will (select one) [] Construe
[] Removal of Case to Federal Court Business & Contract [] Attachment [] Confessed Judgment [] Contract Specific Performance [] Detinue [] Garnishment Property [] Annexation [] Condemnation [] Ejectment [] Encumber/Sell Real Estate [] Enforce Vendor's Lien [] Escheatment [] Establish Boundaries [] Landlord/Tenant [] Unlawful Detainer [] Mechanics Lien [] Partition [] Quiet Title [] Termination of Mineral Rights Fort [] Asbestos Litigation [] Compromise Settlement [] Intentional Tort [] Medical Malpractice [] Motor Vehicle Tort [] Product Liability [] Wrongful Death [] Other General Tort Liability	[] Voter Registration [] Other Administrative Appeal DOMESTIC/FAMILY [] Adoption [] Adoption – Foreign [] Adult Protection [] Annulment [] Annulment – Counterclaim/Repleading [] Child Abuse and Neglect – Unfour Complaint [] Civil Contempt [] Divorce (select one) [] Complaint – Contested* [] Complaint – Uncontested* [] Complaint – Uncontested* [] Counterclaim/Responsive Please [] Reinstatement –	[] Declare Death Inded [] Driving Privileges (select one) [] Reinstatement pursuant to § 46.2-427 [] Restoration – Habitual Offender or 3rd Offense [] Expungement [] Firearms Rights – Restoration adding [] Forfeiture of U.S. Currency [] Freedom of Information [] Injunction [] Interdiction [] Interrogatory
[x] Damages in the amount of \$ 24,000.00 November 25, 2015 DATE Ernest P. Francis PRINT NAME 505 Wythe Street, Alexandria, ADDRESS/TELEPHONE NUMBER OF (703) 683-5696 epfrancis@tristateconsumerla	SIGNATOR disput child or deb	Fair Credit Reporting Act ext P. Francis

Civil Action Type Codes (Clerk's Office Use Only)

Accounting	ACCT	Ejectment	FET
Adoption	ADOP	Encumber/Sell Real Estate	וטנט
Adoption - Foreign	FORA	Enforce Vendor's Lien	VEXID.
Adult Protection	PROT	Escheatment	EGC
Aid and Guidance	AID	Establish Boundaries	DOI
Annexation	ANEX	Expungement	
Annulment	ANIII.	Forfeiture of U.S. Currency	NOAY
Annulment - Counterclaim/Responsive Pleadi	ng ACRP	Freedom of Information	FURF
Appeal/Judicial Review		Gamishment	FOI
ABC Board	ARC	Garnishment	GARN
Board of Zoning	ZONE	Injunction	INJ
Compensation Board	A COM	Intentional Tort	ITOR
DMV License Suspension		Interdiction	INTD
Employment Commission	E) (D)	Interpleader	INTP
Employment Grievance Decision	CDV	Interrogatory	INTR
Local Government	GKV	Judgment Lien - Bill to Enforce	LIEN
Local Government	GOVI	Landlord/Tenant	LT
Marine Resources	MAR	Law Enforcement/Public Official Petition	LEP
School Board	JR	Mechanics Lien	MECH
Voter Registration	AVOT	Medical Malpractice	MED
Other Administrative Appeal	AAPL	Motor Vehicle Tort	MV
Appointment	•	Name Change	NC
Conservator of Peace	СОР	Other General Tort Liability	GTOR
Church Trustee	AOCT	Partition	PART
Custodian/Successor Custodian (UTMA)	UTMA	Permit, Unconstitutional Grant/Denial by Loc	ality I (IC
Guardian/Conservator	APPT	Petition - (Miscellaneous)	PET
Marriage Celebrant	ROMC	Product Liability	PROD
Standby Guardian/Conservator	STND	Quiet Title	OT
Asbestos Litigation	AL	Referendum Elections	ELEC
Attachment	ATT	Reinstatement (Other than divorce or driving	ELEC
Bond Forfeiture Appeal	BFA	privileges)	DEDI
Child Abuse and Neglect - Unfounded Compla	int CAN	Removal of Case to Federal Court	REIN
Civil Contempt	CCON	Restore Fireness Pichte Folger	REM
Claim Impleading Third Party Defendant -	000.1	Restore Firearms Rights - Felony	KFKF
Monetary Damages/No Monetary Damages .	CTP	Restore Firearms Rights – Review	RFRR
Complaint - (Miscellaneous)	COM	Separate Maintenance	SEP
Compromise Settlement		Separate Maintenance – Counterclaim/Respon	ısive
Condemnation	COME	Pleading	SCRP
Confessed Judgment	COMD	Sever Order	SEVR
Contract Action	CNITTO	Taxes	
Contract Specific Performance	CNIK	Correct Erroneous State/Local	CTAX
Counterclaim - Monetary Damages/No Moneta	PEKr	Delinquent	DTAX
Damages	ry .	Termination of Mineral Rights	MIN
Damages	CC	1 rust - Impress/Declare	TRST
Cross Claim	CROS	Trust – Reformation	REFT
Declaratory Judgment	DECL	Uniform Foreign Country Money Judgments	RFCJ
Declare Death	DDTH	Unlawful Detainer	UD
Detinue Divorce	DET	Vehicle Confiscation	VEH
	•	Voting Rights - Restoration	VOTE
Complaint - Contested/Uncontested	DIV	Will Construction	CNST
Complaint - Contested/Uncontested Counterclaim/Responsive Pleading	DCRP	Will Contested	WILL
Reinstatement - Custody/Visitation/Suppor	t/	Writs	
Equitable Distribution	CVS	Certiorari	wc
Driving Privileges		Habeas Corpus	יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי
Reinstatement pursuant to § 46.2-427	DRIV	Mandamus	VI II
Restoration – Habitual Offender or		Prohibition	מלינו? אייייי אייייי איייייי
3 rd Offense	REST	Quo Warranto	WP
		Wrongful Death	wQw

VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR LOUDOUN COUNTY

KEITH T. SAYLOR
39491 Meadowlark Drive
Hamilton, VA 20158

Plaintiff

v. Civil Action No. C 7612

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.
100 North Tryon Street, Suite 120
Charlotte, NC 28202

Serve:

CT Corporation System
Registered Agent
4701 Cox Road, Suite 285
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Keith T. Saylor ("Saylor"), by his undersigned counsel, brings this action against Defendant Bank of America, N.A., and for his complaint in this action alleges as follows:

- 1. This Court is one of "competent jurisdiction" within the meaning of that term in in 15 U.S.C. § 1681p for an action alleging violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff is an individual who at all times pertinent to the allegations in this complaint maintained his residence at 39491 Meadowlark Drive in Hamilton, Virginia.
 - Defendant is a national bank organized under the laws of the United States.

4. Defendant is engaged in the business of extending credit to individuals through credit cards, which individuals use to purchase goods and services.

٠,٠

- 5. Defendant alleges that it extended credit to Saylor through Saylor's use of two credit cards issued by Defendant.
- 6. Defendant (beginning in 1997 for one credit card and 2001 for the other credit card) reported to the Equifax, Experian, and Trans Union consumer reporting agencies information about that debt allegedly created when Saylor used the credit cards issued by Defendant.
- 7. The business of those consumer reporting agencies is to regularly assemble information on the payment of consumer debts by individuals so that such information can be furnished to third parties in the form of consumer reports, which are communications by those entities as to an individual's creditworthiness, credit standing, credit capacity to third parties (such as financial institutions) for use by those third parties in establishing the eligibility of individuals for credit or insurance to be used as primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 8. The TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian consumer reporting agencies each collect millions of dollars in fees each year from financial institutions such as Defendant to purchase consumer reports from those entities in order to use those reports in determining either whether to grant credit to individuals for their personal, family, or household purposes or the likelihood of collection of a delinquent account.

- 9. The TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian consumer reporting agencies each use the means and facilities of interstate commerce for obtaining information for inclusion in the consumer reports and then transmitting those consumer reports to those entities that pay for them.
- 10. As of October, 2013, Defendant was reporting that Saylor's accounts with it had been charged off.
- 11. In fact the statement that the account had been charged off was false because
 Defendant itself had reported that the amount charged off was zero.
- 12. By letters dated October 25, 2013, and addressed to the TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian consumer reporting agencies Plaintiff Saylor disputed what Defendant had reported to those agencies about the debt Saylor allegedly owed to Defendant.
- 13. These letters from Plaintiff Saylor to the aforementioned consumer reporting agencies were mailed to those agencies on November 2, 2013, and were received at those agencies after November 2, 2013.
- 14. The TransUnion, Equifax, and Experian consumer reporting agencies each transmitted to Defendant the contents of the respective October 25, 2013, letter that each had received from Saylor.
- 15. When Defendant received from the aforementioned consumer reporting agencies the contents of the October 25, 2013, letters by which Plaintiff Saylor had disputed what Defendant was reporting, Defendant (later in November, 2013) verified to those agencies what it had previously reported.
- 16. Defendant also failed to report to any of the consumer reporting agencies that Saylor disputed what Defendant had reported to those agencies as to Saylor's account.

17. Plaintiff Saylor first became aware of what Defendant verified to the consumer reporting agencies as to the alleged debt of Saylor to Defendant on or after November 26, 2013, since the Experian consumer reporting agency mailed Saylor the result of its investigation into Saylor's written dispute on November 25, 2013.

COUNT I 15 U.S.C. § 1681n

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the preceding paragraphs 1 through 17 as if the same were fully set forth herein.
- 19. When Defendant verified that Saylor's account had been charged off, Defendant failed to perform an investigation in response to Saylor's dispute as to what Defendant had reported to the consumer reporting agencies about the debt owed by Saylor.
- 20. In fact, Defendant's own records showed that what it had reported concerning the debt owed by Saylor was false or misleading since no charge off had occurred because (as Defendant itself reported) the amount charged off was zero.
- 21. Moreover, the payment history that Defendant reported to TransUnion for the account showed that no chargeoff had occurred.
- 22. Defendant also reported to Equifax that the account was paid as agreed with no late payments.
- 23. Had Defendant performed an investigation into whether it had charged off Plaintiff Saylor's account, it would not have verified that the account was charged off.

- 24. Defendant also knew that Saylor had disputed what Defendant had reported and that the failure to report the account as disputed rendered what Defendant had reported as misleading.
- 25. In deliberately failing to consult its own records as to Saylor's account and thereby failing to perform an investigation in response to Saylor's dispute concerning the debt, and in failing to report that Saylor disputed what Defendant had reported, Defendant acted willfully.
- 26. Under 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, a successful plaintiff under that section may recover reasonable attorney's fees from the Defendant.

COUNT II 15 U.S.C. § 1681o

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the preceding paragraphs 1 through 24 as if the same were fully set forth herein.
- 28. In the alternative to the allegations of the preceding paragraph 25, Defendant's verification of what it had reported as to Saylor's account without consulting its own records concerning the account was negligent since reasonable care required Defendant to consult its own records.
- 29. Defendant was also negligent in failing to report that Saylor disputed the accounts when Defendant knew that he disputed the accounts.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's failure to perform an investigation prior to verifying what it had reported to the consumer reporting agencies, Plaintiff has suffered damages in the form of diminution of credit rating, and this will lead to a denial of credit.

31. Under 15 U.S.C. § 16810, a successful plaintiff under that section may recover reasonable attorney's fees from the defendant.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in the amount of \$24,000 against Defendant for actual, statutory, and punitive damages, an award of prejudgment interest on that amount and his reasonable attorney's fees (on the grounds set forth in the preceding paragraphs 26 and 31), and his costs incurred in this action.

KEITH T. SAYLOR By Counsel

Ernest P. Francis VSB #27276

ERNEST P. FRANCIS, LTD.

505 Wythe Street Alexandria, VA 22314

(703) 683-5696

Fax (703) 683-2785

E-mail: epfrancisltd@verizon.net

Attorney for Plaintiff

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues herein.

Ernest P. Francis
Ernest P. Francis